EXHIBIT "A"

AFFIDAVIT OF Dr. Lawrence Stowe

inclusive of curriculum vitae

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
HOUSTON DIVISION

LAWRENCE STOWE, Petitioner	§	
vs.	§	Case No.:
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Respondent	§	Related Criminal No.: 4-11-CR-0083-001

AFFIDAVIT OF LAWRENCE STOWE

COMES NOW, Dr. Lawrence Stowe, hereinafter "AFFIANT" and does aver and avow that the averments contained within this document are true, correct and based upon actual historical events and recollections. AFFIANT is of sound body and mind, free of stress and vice, and without the promise of reward. These averments are made knowingly, willingly, voluntarily, and under the penalty and pains of perjury, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1746.

Accordingly, this AFFIANT does bear true and existing witness that:

1.) I am of lawful age , sound body and mind, without the promise of rewrd, and acting under a voluntary nature.

2.) At all times relevant, I directed my counsel to investigate the FDA reports provided by Agent Chris Romolo, which demonstrates that I complied with the rules and regulations of the FDA as established through the Food, Drug, and cosmetic Act, 21 U.SC. §§ 335 and 360bbb(b).

3.) At all times relevant, The Stowe foundation, Stowe BioTherapy, and myself, worked through licensed physicians to prove immuno-therapy biological treatments to our clients and served essentially as a consulting firm to peolple looking for alternatives to preserve their life, as conventional treatments did not serve their present needs.

4.) I provided my counsel, Tom Moran on multiple occassions, various reports and stances on affirmative defenses to the Government's overreaching and over zealous prosecution. Directly, I told Mr. Moran to look for all ways to prepare for trial including taking the depostionn of Agent Chris Romolo.

5.) Presently, I am operating under a severe "prejudice and disability" because the criminal records that are necessary to overwhelmingly prove the Rule 11 violation that was the root cause of my Hobson's choice between trying to proceed to trial with "ineffective counsel" and Due Process of Law because the records are sealed. Counsel quit being effective regarding the statements of Judge Miller where he stated: "you have until Friday to have a plea agreement filed with the court if you wish to avoid going to trial" and Judge Miller started the conversation with,"Mr. Stowe, I am aware that your co-defendant Dr. Morales has made a plea agreement with the prosecution". Judge Miller then went on to state: "I have seen the 60 minutes report . . . and I do not want my court to be turned into a media circus." At this time counsel turned to me and said as he was speaking with the judge that "we have plea negotiations scheduled right after these hearings, Your Honror."

6.) Before the pre-trial motion hearing, and after interchange with Tom Moran, whereby Mr. Moran admitted that he had not spoken with any of my professional witnesses and/or staff. At this time it was painfully obvious that counsel had not investigated the FDA reports or any of the aspects of the case. As I was under a major delima, after hearing Judge Miller's comments and observing counsell"s ineffectiveness in "failing to prepare for trial and investigate my case", both law and content witness, I decided that I had no choice but to take a plea.

7.) At no time was I ever served with a "notice of violation" nor did I enter into a "consent agreement" or was I given a written notice of violation that I could appeal from the FDA. Being familiar with FDA protocol, I intructed Tom Moran to explore why this matter, which was civil in nature had somehow turned criminal, and to look for alternative ways and means to settle this case. **See: 21 U.S.C. § 360bbb(b).**

8.) I entered into an agreement to proffer upon the "advice of counsel", whereby I was assurred that I would be protected and that if I plead, I would be facing a one year sentence, of which I could win on appeal. Later the Government, the drafters of the proffer agreement, used information that I had given, to enhance my sentence as their alleged facts, are not facts at all. Specifically, I told counsel during the PSR phase, that the Government's calculations of loss contained egregious errors and that the "entire chart should be thrown out." Simply stated, I not only maintain my actual innocence, the proceeds "merged" completely into pure cost associated with laboratory processes and manufacuring cost for the purchase of those products.

9.) After the sentencing hearing, I requested an appeal and he said: "don't hire anybody, once they see that you have waived you §2255 rights, they are just going to toss it anyway." Effectively, he did not provide a notice of appeal as instructed, and I had to file all of the paperwork Pro Se, attempting to appeal. See exhibit "F".

10.) Previously, after bing repeatedly directed to withdraw my plea, Mr. Moran told me: "the judge could refuse your request to withdraw your plea agreement and you could be facing an advesarial judge at sentencing." He further stated that: "you would be better off to throw yourself on the mercy of the court and throw yourself upon your sword because I know Judge Miller to be an honest and fair man." I comprehended this to mean that I would receive the one year sentence that I thought was part of the plea agreement as associated with my proffer, and most certainly the "inducemnt" to plea. Further, I was banking on my day in court via the appeals process, because I had maintained my actual innocence.

11.) At no time, did I ever "manufacture or promote" a product, nor did I label or "misbrand" a product. Further, these products in question, i.e., AIMSPRO, et.al., are products of companies like Chisholm Biological Laboratories and DuVal International, which are products that were utilized in conjunction with 21 U.S.C. § 360bbb(b) and with licesned physicians.

12.) During my engineering career, I specialized in the creation of processes. I used that type of thinking to assist a licensed oncologist with various processes to stop the effects of cancer for my mother. Demonstrably so, I turned these records over to counsel so that he may interview the Doctor and develop a working knowledge of my activities and prepare a future witness. Together "we" had great success in using applied biologics to reverse my mothers pancreatic cancer, which is memorialized in my mothers medical records. Additionally, I used the same process, of working through a "licensed Physician" to assist my sister with her MS, a case that is well known for it's ultimate success due to Stowe BioTherapy. Directly, Tom Moran was told to interview my sister and her physician and to review these medical records in preparation for trial.

13.) My attorney-client prvilege was was pierced as the prosecutor admitted such when the prosecution violated the "taint process." Subsequently, the Govenrnment was able to utilize my information and to craft a case off of information that was not illegal.

14.) At all times relevant and thoughout my career, I have endeavored to to obey the laws and work within the guidelines and framwework of those laws, in effort to better humanity.

15.) Further, Tom Moran allowed incorrect information to be recorded within my PSR, whereby the Bureau of Prisons has classified me as responsible for eight deaths, which are facts that have never been proven, admitted or adjudicated before a jury of my peers which is information that I would never have admitted to simply because it is an outrageous falsity.

16.) If the entire plea process whould have been explained to me inclusive of the fact that I could not only receive more than a one year sentence and that the process of appeals is not designed to overturn a a conviction as a means to settle a case, I would not have accepted the plea bargain, under any terms and would have proceeded to trial, whereby I would have presented multiple fact witnesses, multiple professional witnesses, and vehemently challeged the prosecutions case with statutory interpretations of the law, like those described in detail within the Food, Drug, and Cosemetic Act. Further, had I been made aware of all of my rights, I would never allowed the words of Judge Miller during the Rule 11 hearing to influence my decison to plea. Poignantly, I would have fired my counsel on the spot and moved for new counsel, alternatively I would have stated each objection to ineffective preparation on the record.

17.) I was told during the colloquey to just say "yes" and to agree with whatever the judge says. I now know that was an egregious piece of mis-advice that was not part of the acceptance of a plea designed to result in a one year sentence.

18.) My plea was not entered into on a voluntary, knowing or intelligent basis because I was induced through misrepresentations and coerced through the Judge's involvement which caused counsel to literally "wilt" in his duties to advocate on my behalf.

19.) At all times relevant, I have maintained that my actions were within the law, as articulated through the administrative rulings and case law reasoning on such, and had counsel investigated the facts of my case and applied the law, the results would have been different. The results would have been different because counsel would have filed a a motion to quash the indictment and moved for a bill of particulars, as well as moved for a supression hearing regarding taint.

20.) At no time would I have ever entered into a "proffer" agreement with the government, absent assurances from both the counsel and the prosecution that the conversation and details would not be used against me in a later proceeding or at trial. I was already in a complete mode of cooperating volntarily with the government officials for a full investigation of "my" actions as I maintained that they were in fact legal. For the record, I meet with every official when requested and turned over all information as requested so that these matters could be solved peacefully.

21.) I preserve each issue of law within this affidavit ahdn hereby incorporate by reference herein, any other cognizable issue not fully briefed.

Further, this AFFIANT sayeth not.

Dated this the 16th day of March, 2016.

Dr. Lawrence Stowe

Dr. Lawrence Stowe

Lawrence R. Stowe, PhD

Dr. Larry Stowe is the managing director of The Stowe Foundation, www.thestowefoundation.org, a 501c3 Public Charity established in April 2003 and headquartered in Fort Worth, TX. The Stowe Foundation supports adult stem cell research for Regenerative Medicine and helps to create comprehensive immune therapy protocols based on biological medicines, biological response modifiers, autologous vaccines and biologically active energy fields. The work is guided by the Stowe Foundation's signature concept of personalized medicine, Applied BioLogics.

In 1995, Dr. Stowe was a founding member of Survive Until a Cure, a non-profit medical research foundation that supports the clinical application of immune therapy. In recent years, Dr. Stowe has focused his work on the concept of modulating the immune system through biological medicine and human cell therapy to treat cancer, viral infections and autoimmune disorders. The adult stem cells help to regenerate healthy tissue that has been damaged by the chronic inflammation associated with all chronic illness. Applied BioLogics is used to control the chronic inflammation and position the immune system into a healing response.

Early in his professional career (until 1988), Dr. Stowe was a Senior Research Engineer for Mobil Oil Research and Development and remains an international consultant to Mobil Oil Exploration and Production, now Exxon/Mobil. Since his formal departure from Mobil Oil, Dr. Stowe has served as a technology consultant to several biotechnology firms and served as the Science Advisor to the BioTherapy Clinic of Texas. He has been a small business technology partner with Los Alamos National Laboratory and has been an invited speaker at the White House Symposium on the Development of Environmental Technology. Dr. Stowe holds sixteen US patents.

Dr. Stowe obtained his Ph.D. from the University of Illinois in 1982 in Chemical Engineering and Biomolecular Engineering and received a master's degree in Chemical Engineering and Biomedical Engineering in 1978 from Iowa State University. He graduated from Iowa State University with a Bachelor of Science degree in Chemical Engineering in 1975. During his academic years, Dr. Stowe received a variety of scholastic honors including being named a guest lecturer by the University of Illinois.

Starting in 1988, when Dr. Stowe served as a consultant to True Health, he began writing white papers for immune compromised clients on the novel biological and immune system approaches to the treatment of cancer and viral infections. Among these are:

- The Role of Essential Fatty Acids in the Treatment of Viral Infections
- Historical and Current Applications of Whole Body Hyperthermia
- A Method to Strengthen the Immune System and Enhance Survival Rates in Stage D Prostate Cancer

Dr. Stowe's immune therapy concepts were first applied in a controlled clinical trial with 30 AIDS patients in 1989. Dr. Terry Pulse, the principal investigator, published this landmark study in 1990 in the Journal of Advancement in Medicine Volume 3, Number 4. The clinical trial clearly demonstrated that a devastated immune system could be rebuilt and functionally restored using sophisticated biologic response modifiers, transfer factors and nutritional biotherapy. This was the first clinical demonstration of the therapeutic benefits of natural killer cell therapy. Dr. Stowe has continued to develop this immunology concept into the field known as comprehensive immune therapy (Applied BioLogics). When combined in an integrative program with allopathic medicine, immune therapy can provide a pathway to treating the previously incurable diseases.

Dr. Stowe continued to advance the science of immune therapy through his work on whole body hyperthermia. Artificially high fevers are able to trigger an aggressive immune response to both cancer and AIDS. Dr. Stowe has lectured at several professional conferences for integrative medicine on the outstanding results that have been obtained in the treatment of these intractable conditions. Most recently, Dr. Stowe has worked on the utilization of cold lasers and far infrared light to trigger a localized metabolic response and generate an immune response in the region of a tumor. He has also conducted studies on the effects of low intensity pulsed magnetic fields, electrical fields and acoustic fields to change the energy environment of biological tissue. The biologically active energy fields present a unique opportunity to communicate with the cell membrane walls and potentially the DNA of the cells to modulate cellular activity.

The controlled energy fields have demonstrated the ability to enhance circulation and lymphatic drainage, which leads to a substantial improvement in the bio-terrain of the body. The energy fields can also turn on and turn off certain metabolic functions within the targeted tissue. Another field of study is in biofeedback. Biofeedback reports on the biological reactivity and resonance in your body to minute energy disturbances, on the level of quantum physics. The energy patterns indicate specific dysfunctions and vulnerabilities of the organs and tissue beds. Biofeedback is a very effective tool to stimulate and harness the tremendous capacity of the human immune system for self-healing.

Since 1995, Dr. Stowe has been involved in the therapeutic application of cytokine therapy. Cytokines are the body's natural biological weapons against malignant cells and invading organisms. In-vivo cytokine production can be stimulated through the use of natural biologic response modifiers that can be extracted from plants such as the aloe vera

plant and medicinal grade mushrooms.

It is also possible to harvest endogenous monocytes from a patient's blood and then create an autologous blood product in the laboratory that has been significantly enhanced with the production of the patient's own cytokines. The cytokines can be targeted on the patient's cancer by extracting tumor-associated antigens from tissue samples, urine samples and highly purified tumor cell lines and exposing the antigens to the white blood cells. The broth becomes an autologous vaccine or immune activation serum. By infusing the vaccine back into the region of the lymph nodes, the body's immune system can be activated to trigger a healing response. Cytokine therapy combined with hyperthermia and biologic response modifiers is pointing the way to a non-toxic therapy for metastasized cancer. Manipulating the activity of natural killer cells can further enhance the cell-mediated response of the immune system. The NK Cells do not need an antigen presentation to be effective against abnormal cells and hence NK Cell Therapy offers a novel pathway to treat disease.

In recent years, medical science has created a vast new understanding of the complex human immune system and the body's innate ability to heal itself. New medical technology has emerged from research on the immune system that promises to effectively treat a catastrophic disease, such as cancer, as a manageable illness. In addition, research in the area of mind-body medicine is unlocking untapped strategies that can be utilized in the management of the complete patient.

Dr. Stowe has developed and pioneered a unique treatment protocol to help stimulate a person's own immune system to recognize and attack tumor cells. His philosophy includes the belief that defective or inadequate antigen (cell surface information) presentation and inadequate T-Cell recognition of tumor cells are the root cause of the development of cancer. Immune therapy can reverse this condition. He also strongly believes that people with various illnesses, especially autoimmune disorders, can have a much higher requirement for specific nutrients such as essential fatty acids. Nutritional BioTherapy can exert potent anti-tumor effects by enhancing the immune response.

He further believes that the use of effective metabolic inhibitors of angiogenesis (new blood vessel growth) is a useful anti-tumor strategy and enhances the effectiveness of immune-based therapies. His goal is to uncover, through research and clinical trials, ways to achieve these healing responses through a combination of safe, non-toxic, proven therapies. The Stowe Foundation was established in 2003 to carry out the critical research and development required to bring immune therapy into mainstream medicine.

Clinical research has shown that there are five basic anti-tumor strategies:

1. Direct cytotoxicity (cell killing)
2. Angiogenesis inhibition (cutting off blood supply to tumors)
3. Apoptosis induction (programmed cell death)

4. Re-differentiation (cells revert back to normal)
5. Immune system modulation.

One goal of the Stowe Foundation is to clinically demonstrate the therapeutic benefit of the combined modalities in a fast acting and high mortality cancer such as pancreatic cancer. These modalities were used to put Edith Stowe's pancreatic cancer into remission in the year 2000. Edith Stowe, the mother of Dr. Stowe, was diagnosed with pancreatic cancer in December 1999 at the age of 80. Her pancreatic cancer, a 9 cm tumor, was determined to be non-resectable and she was given a 2 month terminal prognosis.

With the assistance of the medical team at Survive Until a Cure and the oncology team in Davenport, Iowa, Edith Stowe was treated with radiation therapy, comprehensive immune therapy and an autologous cancer vaccine from Germany. Her cancer went into complete remission after 9 months of therapy. Edith Stowe died cancer free of natural causes on August 12, 2002. She was 83. The Stowe Foundation is dedicated to her memory and the hope that some day all families might benefit from comprehensive immune therapy, the technology that saved her life.

Since its inception in April of 2003 the Stowe Foundation has dedicated substantial resources to expanding comprehensive immune therapy into the field of Regenerative Medicine and human cell therapy based on adult stem cells. In January of 2005, The Stowe Foundation had its status as a private medical research foundation elevated to the status of a Public Charity. The Public Charity status signifies that the work of the Stowe Foundation was being done in the public interest and enjoys broad public support. Dr. Stowe left his professional practice in the summer of 2005 to become the full time managing director of the Stowe Foundation.

By forming strategic alliances with private industry and academic research institutes, the Stowe Foundation has been able to guide the creation of a significant technology platform in Regenerative Medicine. By combining state of the art medical energy devices with comprehensive immune therapy and adult stem cells for tissue regeneration, the Stowe Foundation has ushered in a new era of understanding on how to reverse a chronic illness. The technology base has received sufficient regulatory approvals to be used in investigational studies for the treatment of Diabetes, Osteoarthritis, Pancreatic Cancer and the Traumatic Injuries that result from playing sports, accidents at work or in the home and the war in Iraq or Afghanistan.

In September of 2006, while Dr Stowe was attending an International Congress on stem cell therapy hosted by the Pontifical Academy of Life at the Vatican City, Pope Benedict XVI attended a private, although brief, meeting with Dr. Stowe, a Protestant, to discuss the Vatican's stem cell policy. During his closing address to the Congress, Pope Benedict comments included the following : "When science is applied to the alleviation of suffering and when it discovers on its way new resources, it shows two

faces rich in humanity: through the sustained ingenuity invested in research, and through the benefit announced to all who are afflicted by sickness. Those who provide financial means and encourage the necessary structures for study share in the merit of this progress on the path of civilization."

The next phase in changing healthcare at the Stowe Foundation is to prove that the Stowe Foundation technology platform in Regenerative Medicine has created a new standard of care. Dr. Stowe is actively engaged in raising the funds that will permit the transition of healthcare from conventional medicine into Regenerative Medicine. The Stowe Foundation has targeted four major initiatives in healthcare:

- Reversing the five major complications of Diabetes: Cardiomyopathy, Nephropathy, Retinopathy, Neuropathy and Wound Care.
- Reversing the pain and disability associated with Osteoarthritis
- Improving patient outcomes in traumatic injuries associated with Sports, Work and War
- Providing real hope for the Pancreatic Cancer patient.

Dr. Stowe has personal experience in dealing with cancer. In 1959, at the age of six, he was diagnosed and treated for osteosarcoma (bone cancer). The disease was considered fatal at the time and is still considered terminal today for the majority of patients who contract osteosarcoma. For reasons that are only now becoming apparent, the osteosarcoma went into what is termed a spontaneous remission. Dr. Stowe's current research is guided by the belief that the conditions that caused his bone cancer to remit can be duplicated in other cancer patients.

Professional and Executive Summary

Extensive executive and entrepreneurial experience in Biotechnology and Petroleum Industries; from conceptual patent to commercialization. Executive experience includes being Managing Director of a healthcare Public Charity and a private non-profit medical research foundation. Served as CSO in both a small public Biotech Company and a small private Energy Company. Professional career in the Oil and Gas Sector includes technology consulting for Fortune 500 companies and serving as senior research engineer for Mobil Oil R&D. Key skills include:

Translating R&D into Profitable Technology	Communicating the Vision
Building Strategic Partnerships	Optimizing the use of Resources
Solving Difficult Problems in Real Time	Raising Operating Capital

Professional Career Highlights

Stowe Foundation 501c3 Public Charity – 2003 to present

Regenerative Medicine

Developed an investigational human cell therapy program for reversing the complications of diabetes, www.thestowefoundation.org. Assisted strategic partner Chisolm Biological Labs to develop patient specific transfer factors for personalized medicine. Interacted with Harvest Technologies Corp on autologous bone marrow concentrates for use in adult stem cell therapy. Financed Charles River Labs to conduct canine cardiac studies of adult stem cells and sponsored Cornell University to publish equine study using adult stem cells to repair damaged cartilage. Initiated human cardiac care study in Mexico using adult stem cells.

Ultrasonic Green Process for Environmental Remediation of Sludge

The Ultrasonic Green Process converts sludge and all other forms of emulsified oil into marketable crude oil, pure water and toxic free solids. The technology has been licensed to Oil Reclamation and Production Services, LLC for commercial operations and reached Tier I status during the BP Gulf Oil spill of 2010. The Foundation will receive on-going royalties

Proton Water for Cellular Hydration

Developed the technology platform to produce Hydrate8X, structured water that accelerates water absorption and nutrient delivery into the cells of living organisms: plant, animal and human. The water was tested in a human clinical trial to FDA standards and proved that Hydrate8X hydrated the body 8 times more efficiently than tap water, bottled water (Dasani) and fitness water (Propel). The Foundation is looking for commercial development partners in the beverage industry and the agricultural sector. A small field test demonstrated that proton water could accelerate the growth of Algae a renewable source of oil, transportation fuels and biomass.

Survive Until a Cure Foundation – 1995 to 2003

As CEO developed comprehensive immune therapy (Applied BioLogics) for holistic physicians based on biological and botanical agents, veritable energy medicine and human cell therapy. Lobbied Congress to pass

the FDA reform act of 1998 Worked with the Dr. Klehr Institute for Cell Biology and Immunology in Munich Germany on autologous cancer vaccine; Matol, Inc in Canada on antigen infused colostrum extracts (Biomune OSF) for natural killer cell therapy. Both products are on the market.

Probex, Inc – 1990 to 1995

Contracted as CSO, qualified as small business technology partner with Los Alamos National Laboratories to develop the commercial applications of ultrasound within the petroleum and refining industry. Created US Patent 5,547,563 - Method of conversion of heavy hydrocarbon feedstock. Invited speaker at the 1995 White House Symposium on Environmental Technology

True Health, Inc - 1988 to 1990

Contracted as CSO, designed and formulated a clinical study on Nutritional Biotherapy for victims of AIDS. Study was published in the Journal of Advancement of Medicine, Vol. 3, No. 4, Winter 1990 and became the basis for a cottage industry in nutritional supplements.

Mobil Oil R&D - 1982 to 1988

Invented, patented and commercialized sand control technology that increased oil production rates from the Statfjord field in the North Sea by 100,000 barrels/day. This yielded a net revenue increase of $2 million per day.

Education

Degree	Date	Major	Institution
Ph.D.	05/82	Chemical & Bimolecular Engineering	University of Illinois
M.S.	05/78	Chemical Engineering	Iowa State University
M.S.	05/78	Biomedical Engineering	Iowa State University
B.S.	05/75	Chemical Engineering	Iowa State University

Following his doctoral program, Dr. Stowe rose to the position of Senior Research Engineer at Mobil Oil R&D and generated 16 patents on oil and gas production technology. He also served as a worldwide technical consultant to Mobil E & P and their joint venture partners in critical production operations. This work established the basis for his on-going consulting business in the petroleum industry.

Dr. Stowe has spent the past 23 years working in the field of biological medicine, serving as the chief science advisor for the medical non-profit foundation Survive Until A Cure (SUAC), headquartered in Westport, CT. and the Stowe Foundation, a public healthcare charity headquartered in Fort Worth, TX. He also remained in the energy sector with a brief ownership/employment contract with Probex Corp, serving as their Engineering Manager for their oil field operations. Dr. Stowe sold his interest in Probex in 1995, which financed SUAC and later the Stowe Foundation. Since 2009 he has been serving as the Chief Science Officer for Oil Reclamation and Production Services, LLC (ORPS) where he has contributed his knowledge of the oil and gas industry and his multiple patents to ORPS to provide a royalty stream to the Stowe Foundation.

EXHIBIT "B"

Attachment to email to Tom Moran (3 Pages)

(1st directive to withdraw plea bargain)

Hello Tom – here are the Government and State Rules and regulations that cover my relevant conduct over the past 30 years. I first started work on comprehensive immune therapy for a company called True Health. This is all covered in my Biographical sketch, my CV, my resume and the numerous white papers I have written over the years on Applied BioLogics, Adult Stem Cell Therapy and Regenerative Medicine. The Stowe Foundation and Stowe BioTherapy dispensed products, both nutritional supplements and unapproved drugs under the 3 month personal use guidelines that met all the appropriate standards and guidelines set forth by the FDA and sister regulatory agencies. We conformed to all guidelines set forth by the State of California for the Practice of Medicine.

I am an innocent man being prosecuted by the FDA for a fictional crime created by Sixty Minutes who then filed the original complaint. This whole case is a miss-carriage of Justice. The USDA continues on even to the point of submitting false and misleading information to the probation office regarding the Plea Agreement. I would like to revoke my plea agreement on the basis that it was coerced and even the original indictment should have been squashed and attorney client privilege was violated during the investigation. There is no way this Plea Agreement represents my right to a fair and impartial trial.

Here are the US Government rules and regulations that govern my relevant conduct and the State Regulations that govern Regenerative Medicine. I have provided extensive e-mails that lay out out how these are the appropriate rules for my relevant conduct. At no time did I attempt to "market and distribute" a misbranded or unapproved drug for a commercial or personal profit. The Stowe Foundation and Stowe BioTherapy provided medical services to our clients and their personal healthcare providers.

The Stowe Foundation and Stowe BioTherapy and my self as an individual, along with our strategic partners in the investigation of Regenerative Medicine conformed to the following Government Rules and Regulations and Acts

The Dietary Supplement Health and Education Act of 1994. - this controls the branding of nutritional supplements.

The California State Medical Examiners Board - which controls the practice of medicine in the State of California – the location of Stowe BioTherapy

The Texas State Medical Examiners Board – which controls the practice of medicine in the State of Texas – the location of the Stowe Foundation.

The US Department of Agriculture rules and regulations on the production of Hyperimmune Eggs.

The FDA and FTC rules and regulations on food and nutritional supplements and herbs that meet the standards set forth by GRAS (generally regarded as safe).

The FDA rules and regulations regarding the manufacturing of nutritional supplements known as GMP (Good manufacturing procedures)

The FDA rules and regulations that govern the personal use of unapproved drugs.

The First Amendment which protects my rights to free speech as a PhD scientist trained in the biological and medical sciences. It is important that I have the right to convey medical and scientific knowledge as the managing director of the 501c3 Public Charity - The Stowe Foundation.

The IRS governs 501c3 Public Charities and in ~~1995~~ 2005 ruled that the work of the Stowe Foundation was in the public's interest and enjoyed broad public support.

The FDA controls the certification of medical devices. Our source of adult stem cells came from an FDA certified and approved medical device by Harvest Technology. The BMAC system could be used in the US for its proven therapeutic benefits and within IRB controlled clinical studies designed to expand those benefits. The device could be legally exported as a medical device to countries that had their own rules and regulations regarding stem cell therapy.

The Stowe Foundation was Harvest Technologies liaison to the following Universities and Commercial Organizations involved in the Stowe Foundation sponsored research into Regenerative Medicine. We had valid contracts and formal relationships with all of the following organizations

- University of California San Diego.
- University of California Irvine
- University of Texas
- Cornell University
- Charles Rivers Lab
- Angeles Hospital Mexico City

The Stowe Foundation was sponsoring formal outcome based studies in Regenerative Medicine and collecting the medical and scientific evidence that would lead to marketing and distribution approval by the FDA. All products that we did dispense met all Government and State Regulations.

The SEC governs the interactions for raising money from sophisticated investors. Our business documents met those criteria and that is the only documents that use the phrase FDA approved and the Harvest Technology medical device is exactly that.

SF 1019 and AIMSPRO and Dr. Klehr's cancer vaccine and the ITL cancer vaccine all were dispensed
under the appropriate FDA guidelines for unapproved drugs and personal use.

The Stowe Foundation only purchased nutritional supplements that were properly labeled and manufactured by strict FDA guidelines and the facilities were FDA inspected and certified.

We operated the Stowe Foundation to gather the scientific and medical evidence to get IRB approval on outcome based human clinical trials which are governed by the FDA.

After 30 years of obeying the laws of the land the FDA and The US Department of Justice is now trying to prosecute me for a fictional crime that only exists in the minds of Sixty Minutes who filed the original complaint.

Tom – I am innocent man. I need you to present the true facts of this case to the Judge so that he can render Justice.

EXHIBIT "C"

Attachment to Tom Moran email (1 page)

(request to withraw plea and for deposition)

The original AIDS study, attached to this e-mail, was the start of comprehensive immune therapy or Applied BioLogics and was published in 1990. Not sure how the Government turns my relevant conduct of supporting pioneering medical research into biological medcine and human cell therapy, both financially and with personal IP over the past 25 years, into a conspiracy. Can we ask that question of the FDA at or before my sentencing hearing.

Can we summons and depose agent Chris Romolo to testify about the findings of his own field investigation reports and whether the proper FDA rules and regulations were being applied in my case that actually governed my relevant conduct and make that deposition part of my sentencing memorandum and part of our contesting and objecting to the PSI report. You are aware that agent Romolo's interview of Steve Watters, the Sixty Minutes plant, said it was all a set -up and that the FDA would need to get the six hours of videotape from Sixty Minutes to know what happened.

I still maintain that I was coerced and intimidated into pleading guilty to a crime that never happened. There was no conspiracy to market and distribute unapproved drugs or misbranded drugs. "Market and Distribute" have legal and regulatory meaning. We dispensed products and followed the appropriate FDA guidelines on all of our products. A conspiracy to market and distribute was never part of my relevant conduct and Chris Romolos field investigations and knowledge of the rules and regulations of the FDA can make that point to the Judge. It is why Christopher Romolo himself brought up the concept of an Aggressive Misdemeanor at my surrender hearing in Chicago. That was before you had even heard of my case.

It is against the Law for one federal agency (the FDA) to lie to another Federal Agency (the US Department of Justice) in a criminal investigation. That has taken place in my case. The FDA lied to the USDA about my relevant conduct starting with the original indictment, which resulted from the original complaint filed by Sixty Minutes. It is all in Agents Romolo's field investigation reports and the FDA policies that train field agents. Can we take his deposition about his own reports and as an expert in the rules and regulations of the FDA as part of my sentencing hearing and objecting to the PSI. Can we compare and contrast the three letters attached to this e-mail regarding my relevant conduct to the charges leveled in the indictment.

Still would like you to read and consider the letter I sent to my interview lawyer Herman Martinez. It will give you an idea how this all came about. If nothing else I would like to explore revoking my plea agreement and at least get a formal hearing of my case in front of the Judge.

EXHIBIT "D"

Attachment to Tom Moran email (4 pages)

(line item objections and defenses to contest the PSR)